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Microtubules give rise to intracellular structures with diverse morphologies and dynamics that are crucial for cell division, motility, and differentiation. They are decorated with abundant and chemically diverse posttranslational modifications that modulate their stability and interactions with cellular regulators. These modifications are important for the biogenesis and maintenance of complex microtubule arrays such as those found in spindles, cilia, neuronal processes, and platelets. Here we discuss the nature and subcellular distribution of these posttranslational marks whose patterns have been proposed to constitute a tubulin code that is interpreted by cellular effectors. We review the enzymes responsible for writing the tubulin code, explore their functional consequences, and identify outstanding challenges in deciphering the tubulin code.

Microtubules are non-covalent cylindrical polymers formed by αβ-tubulin heterodimer building blocks. They possess two seemingly contradictory properties; they are highly dynamic, exhibiting rapid growth and shrinkage of their ends (1), but are also very rigid, with persistence lengths on the order of cellular dimensions (2). This duality is thought to underlie the versatile architectures of microtubule networks in cells (Fig. 1) and is tuned by a myriad of cellular effectors. These fall into two categories: effectors that bind to the microtubule and alter its properties non-covalently (motors and microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs))2 and effectors that chemically modify the tubulin subunits (tubulin posttranslational modification enzymes). Although the field has made tremendous progress in recent decades identifying a compendium of microtubule-interacting proteins and understanding their interplay and regulation in the cell, we are just now starting to unravel the basic mechanisms used by cells to chemically modify microtubules, despite the fact that tubulin posttranslational modifications have been known for over 40 years. A renaissance of interest into the roles of tubulin posttranslational modifications has been precipitated by the discovery in the last few years of the enzymes responsible for these modifications (3–8), methods for producing unmodified (9, 10), engineered, (11, 12) as well as chemically defined modified tubulin (13), and developments and refinements of in vitro microtubule-based assays using high-resolution microscopy and microfabricated substrates (14–16).

Tubulin posttranslational modifications are chemically diverse, ranging from phosphorylation (17), acetylation (18, 19), palmitoylation (20), sumoylation (21), polyamination (22), and S-nitrosylation (23) to tyrosination (24), glutamylation (25, 26), and glycylation (27). Most of these modifications are reversible. Tubulin posttranslational modifications are evolutionarily conserved and abundantly represented in cellular microtubules. Most importantly, their distribution is stereotyped in cells. For example, interphase microtubules are enriched in tyrosination (28), whereas kinetochore fibers and midbody microtubules are enriched in detyrosination and glutamylation (Fig. 1, A–C) (29, 30). Axonal microtubules are enriched in detyrosination, acetylation, and glutamylation, whereas the dynamic growth cone microtubules are enriched in tyrosination (Fig. 1D) (31, 32). Microtubules in centrioles, cilia, and flagella are especially heavily glutamyalted (Fig. 1, E, G, and H) (29, 33, 34). Glycylated microtubules are found predominantly in the axonemes of cilia and flagella (Fig. 1, A, G, and H) (35, 36); however, some cytoplasmic microtubules in paramecia are also glycylated (36). The more morphologically complex microtubule arrays exhibit the largest diversity and abundance of tubulin posttranslational modifications like the microtubule arrays found in neurons, cilia, or flagella or the highly specialized arrays found in some parasites such as toxoplasma and trypanosomes (Fig. 1F) (37). In some cases, even adjacent microtubules have completely different posttranslational modification signatures. This is beautifully illustrated in axonemes where the B tubule is highly glutamyalted (38, 39), whereas the adjoining A tubule is not, but is enriched in tyrosination (Fig. 1G). Tubulin posttranslational modifications are also developmentally regulated. One striking example is during neuronal development that is accompanied by increases in glutamylation levels of both α-tubulin and β-tubulin, with β-tubulin glutamylation increasing mostly during the later stages of neuronal differentiation (40).

The enzymes that introduce these conserved modifications are essential to normal development (5, 41, 42). Underscoring their importance for normal cell physiology, increased levels of tubulin modifications are a hallmark of cancers and neurodegenerative disorders (reviewed in Ref. 43), and several neurodevelopmental disorders are linked to mutations in tubulin genes at sites that could interfere with modification enzyme function (reviewed in Ref. 44).

This microtubule chemical diversity was proposed to form the basis of a “tubulin code” that is read by cellular effectors (45). Despite the widespread appreciation for the ubiquity and functional importance of these modifications and their stereotyped distribution in organisms and cells, we do not currently understand how complex microtubule modification patterns
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are generated and what their functional consequences on cellular effectors are, i.e., we do not understand how the tubulin code is written and interpreted by cells.

**Tubulin Posttranslational Modifications: Variations upon a Dimer**

The tubulin αβ-heterodimer is composed of a compact globular body and unstructured, negatively charged tubulin C-terminal tails (Fig. 2A). Although the tubulin body is highly conserved from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to humans, the C-terminal tails are the sites of largest sequence variation between organisms as well as among tubulin isoforms from the same organism. Despite their sequence variability, all tubulin tails are highly negatively charged, with glutamate residues being overrepresented (reviewed in Ref. 46). The overwhelming majority of tubulin posttranslational modifications concentrate on the C-terminal tails that serve as interaction sites for molecular motors and MAPs and thus can tune the activity of these effectors (reviewed in Refs. 43, 45, and 46).

Modifications on the C-terminal tails include detyrosination/tyrosination of α-tubulin (24), the removal of the penultimate glutamate of α-tubulin (forming Δ2-tubulin) (47), and glutamylation (25, 26) and glycylation (27) of α- and β-tubulin tails. The tubulin body is also subject to varied posttranslational modifications, such as palmitoylation (48), phosphorylation (17), S-nitrosylation (23), and polyamination (22). Acetylation of α-tubulin Lys-40 is the only modification known to occur within the microtubule lumen (49–51). With the exception of...
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alpha-tubulin Lys-40 acetylation, posttranslational modifications on the tubulin body have not been intensively studied.

**Detyrosination/Tyrosination**

Most mammalian alpha-tubulin isoforms are synthesized with a genomically encoded C-terminal tyrosine that can then undergo enzymatic removal and re-addition as part of a detyrosination/tyrosination cycle (Fig. 2A). Tubulin tyrosination has long been used as a marker of microtubule stability in cells; tyrosinated microtubules persist 3–5 min, whereas long-lived microtubules are detyrosinated (lifetimes of 2–16 h) and resistant to cold- or nocodazole-induced depolymerization (28). For example, stable axonal microtubules are predominantly detyrosinated, whereas highly dynamic growth cone and dendritic microtubules are tyrosinated (52, 53). The modification per se does not seem to alter microtubule stability (54) but rather functions as a signal for the recruitment of cellular effectors to the microtubule (55) (reviewed in Ref. 43).

**Delta2-Tubulin**

Following detyrosination, the penultimate glutamate residue of the alpha-tubulin C-terminal tail can be further removed, producing Delta2-tubulin. This irreversible modification prevents the re-addition of the C-terminal tyrosine, thus removing this tubulin species from the tyrosination cycle (47). This modification also serves as a marker for stable microtubules in cells and is especially enriched on axonal microtubules (47).

**Glutamylation**

Microtubule glutamylation is the posttranslational addition of glutamate residues to the C-terminal tails of both alpha-tubulin and beta-tubulin, targeting multiple internal sites in the glutamate-rich tails (56). The first glutamate is added through an isopeptide bond between the gamma-carboxyl group of tubulin’s encoded glutamate residue and the amino group of the incoming glutamate. The glutamates added beyond the initial branching point are linked through peptide bonds on alpha-carboxyl groups (57). Glutamylation is widely conserved across unicellular flagellates and multicellular organisms with the exception of higher-order plants (3). Glutamylation is enriched on neuronal microtubules, and also microtubules of the mitotic spindle (29), basal bodies, and axonemes of cilia and flagella (29) (Fig. 1). Glutamylation levels in cells are regulated through the opposing actions of both glutamylating and deglutamylating enzymes (5, 40, 58).

**Glycylation**

Microtubule glycylated is the addition of glycine residues to the side chains of glutamates on alpha- and beta-tubulin C-terminal tails. Multiple glutamate residues in a tubulin tail can be glycylated, and subsequent additions of glycine extend this modification to form glycine chains (59). Glycylated is conserved among unicellular flagellates and multicellular organisms with ciliated tissues (60). Monoglycylation is ubiquitous in ciliated tissues, whereas only a subset contains polyglycylated microtubules (61). Glycylated is important for the stability, length, and function of motile cilia (7, 62, 63), the formation and maintenance of primary cilia, and control of cell proliferation (64).

**Acetylation**

alpha-Tubulin is acetylated on Lys-40 (65), a residue located within a short highly flexible loop projecting into the microtubule lumen (49, 51). Acetylation is enriched on microtubules in cilia and basal bodies as well as on a subset of stable, long-lived microtubules in the cytoplasm (lifetimes ~2–16 h (66)). The direct effects of acetylation on microtubule dynamics and
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mechanical stability are not clear. Early studies showed no effects on brain tubulin polymerization (67); however, this tubulin contains a combination of other posttranslational modifications that could have masked the effects of acetylation. The answer to the question of stability will have to await in vitro microtubule dynamics as well as persistence length measurements with homogenous unmodified and acetylated tubulin. Interestingly, studies in Caenorhabditis elegans have revealed that Lys-40 acetylation is important for the formation and integrity of specialized 15-protofilament microtubules that are found in touch receptor neurons. In the absence of tubulin acetyltransferase (TAT), these microtubules appear radially compressed, and many are splayed open (68, 69).

In addition to acetylation on Lys-40, a second acetylation site has been reported more recently on β-tubulin Lys-252 in free heterodimers. This modification inhibits the incorporation of tubulin into microtubules (19), most likely due to its proximity to the nucleotide-binding site on α-tubulin at the interface between the α- and β-tubulin protomers (Fig. 2B). It has been proposed that acetylation at this site interferes with a conformational switch in the tubulin heterodimer needed for robust microtubule incorporation (19).

Polyamination

Polyamination has recently been discovered as an irreversible modification of tubulin that results in the covalent addition of polyamines, including putrescine, spermine, and spermidine, to various glutamines on α- and β-tubulin (22) (Fig. 2, A, C, and D). Polyamines are highly abundant in brain tissue. Polyamination sites map close to polymerization interfaces where they can impact tubulin polymerization and microtubule stability (Fig. 2, C and D), possibly helping to maintain cytoskeleton organization during neuronal development (22). Indeed, tubulin polyamination confers stability to microtubules, preventing cold- and Ca2+-mediated depolymerization (22). This might explain the persistence of a small fraction of microtubules that are resistant to cold- or Ca2+-induced depolymerization encountered during brain tubulin cycling and that consist of more positively charged tubulin isotypes (22, 70).

Palmitoylation

Palmitoylation, the modification of cysteine residues with a fatty acid group, is found on membrane-associated proteins, providing a posttranslational means of embedment. In mammals, tubulin palmitoylation was initially characterized in platelet microtubules. However, the significance of this modification remains unclear outside of S. cerevisiae where palmitoylation of α-tubulin Cys-377 affects nuclear positioning in anaphase (48).

S-Nitrosylation

S-Nitrosylation involves the addition of nitric oxide to various cysteine residues of both α-tubulin and β-tubulin. First observed in rat brain lysates (23), the in vivo function of this tubulin modification is unknown.

Phosphorylation

β-Tubulin phosphorylation was originally documented in rat brain tubulin (17). Tubulin phosphorylation is a poorly charac-
different substrates (glutamate and glycine, respectively), the two TTLL3 glycylase homologs in Drosophila melanogaster are capable of both initiating and elongating glycine chains (4). It is important to note that most investigations into the substrate specificity of the TTLL enzymes involve cellular overexpression. The elevated concentrations encountered in these types of experiments can lead to increased promiscuity that can confound true physiological specificity.

Most TTLL enzymes are much larger than the conserved 370-residue TTL core that defines the family. TTLL enzymes typically range in size from ~400 amino acids (TTLL1 and -9) to ~1200 amino acids and larger (TTLL4 and -5). Most TTLL enzymes with autonomous activity (TTLL3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -10, -11, and -13) are larger than 800 residues, containing conserved sequences both N-terminal and C-terminal to the TTL core (4, 6, 76, 79). Although detailed structure-function studies for TTLL enzymes are lacking so far, in the cases where examined, sequences outside the TTL core domain are required for proper subcellular targeting. For example, both TTLL6 and the longer isoform of TTLL7 require their C-terminal domains for ciliary localization (6).

TTLL1, the first tubulin glutamylase to be isolated, is part of an ~360-kDa five-protein complex that preferentially initiates α-tubulin glutamylation (3). Because this is the only enzyme of the family that was isolated biochemically, whereas the others were identified from sequence searches based on their common TTL core, it is not clear whether other TTLLs function as part of larger complexes in vivo. What is notable in the case of TTLL1 is that the subunit that contains the enzyme active site (polyglutamylase subunit 3 (PGs3)) has no glutamylation activity when expressed in isolation and requires the other four subunits for microtubule binding and modification activity (3). The Chlamydomonas reinhardtii glutamylase TTLL9 also has a binding partner that targets it to modify ciliary microtubules (81). TTLL2 may also need to be part of a larger complex for proper targeting and microtubule binding (6).

Tubulin glutamylation levels are established by the balance between modification enzymes of the TTLL family and the enzymes that remove these modifications. Deglutamylation is carried out by a novel family of carboxypeptidases (CCPs) from the M14D subfamily of metallocarboxypeptidases (5, 58, 82). CCP enzymes also show functional diversification. Mammals typically produce six different CCP enzymes (CCP1–6) with unique substrate specificities. CCP1, -4, and -6 shorten polyglutamate chains and also produce Δ2-tubulin, whereas CCP5 removes branching point glutamates (5). Interestingly, CCP1 is capable of removing both elongated and branching point glutamates when they are added by TTLL6, but cannot remove branching point glutamates added by TTLL4 (5), suggesting that both location and length of the polyglutamate chain attached to the tubulin tail are determining factors of CCP enzyme specificity. The specificities of CCP2 and CCP3 were originally unclear, fueling speculation that either might function as a deglycylase or a detyrosinase. However, recent characterization revealed that both function solely as deglutamylases that also generate Δ2-tubulin (83). Despite decades of effort, the identity of the tubulin detyrosinase remains unknown. To date, no enzyme with tubulin deglycylating activity has been identified either. Identification of these enzymes would complete the compendium of enzymes responsible for generating the most prevalent posttranslational modifications of tubulin and would finally allow functional and mechanistic

### Table 1: Biochemical characteristics of TTL and TTLL family enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Soluble Tubulin vs. MT preference</th>
<th>α vs. β tubulin preference</th>
<th>Initase vs. elongase activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Tyrosination</td>
<td>Soluble Tubulin</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL1</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α and β</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL2</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL4</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL5</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL6</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Elongase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL7</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>Initase/Elongase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL9</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Elongase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL11</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Elongase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL13</td>
<td>Glutamylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Elongase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL3</td>
<td>Glycylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α and β</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL8</td>
<td>Glycylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α and β</td>
<td>Initase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLL10</td>
<td>Glycylation</td>
<td>Microtubule</td>
<td>α and β</td>
<td>Elongase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MT, microtubule.
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studies into maintenance and control of the levels and patterns of these modifications.

Tubulin acetyltransferase is responsible for acetylating α-tubulin on Lys-40. It was initially identified in C. elegans and is conserved across a wide range of species from flagellates to humans (8, 84). The acetyltransferase San modifies β-tubulin on Lys-252 (19), but has multiple substrates in addition to tubulin. Tubulin deacetylases (HDAC6, SIRT2) have been extensively studied, but insights into their precise mechanism of action have been complicated by the fact that they have multiple substrates other than tubulin (reviewed in Ref. 43).

Polyamination is catalyzed by transglutaminases, a family of enzymes capable of cross-linking the side chain of glutamine residues to various primary amines via non-standard isopeptide bonds. Transglutaminases catalyze the polyamination of both free tubulin and tubulin already incorporated into microtubules (22). Prior to establishing a connection with microtubules, transglutaminases and polyamines were known to be important in neuronal differentiation and degeneration (85).

Tubulin phosphorylation is poorly characterized, and kinases linked to tubulin phosphorylation so far have a range of other substrates. α-Tubulin is phosphorylated by the Syk tyrosine kinase (71). Cyclin-dependent kinase 1 phosphorylates Ser-172 on β-tubulin in addition to modifying several other MAPs (72). The physiological significance of these phosphorylation events is currently not understood.

Writers of the Tubulin Code: Specificity and Combinatorial Use

The complex microtubule modification patterns observed in cells are a function of the tissue distribution, developmental regulation, and biochemical properties of tubulin posttranslational modification enzymes (i.e. substrate specificity and kinetic parameters) in addition to the tissue-specific enrichment of certain tubulin isoforms. In addition to these first order factors, pre-existing modifications and their patterns may influence the further addition and removal of modifications. Furthermore, the preceding factors are convoluted with the dynamics of the microtubules themselves (which can potentially be also influenced by modifications) and the effects of tubulin and microtubule-binding proteins. Faced with this multilevel regulatory complexity, analysis requires the ability to reconstitute defined posttranslationally modified tubulin and microtubules that are tyrosinated, glutamylation, and acetylated (13). Variable levels of glutamylation can be achieved and quantitatively measured using mass spectrometry (13).

TTL was the first tubulin modification enzyme to be structurally characterized (75). The enzyme recognizes tubulin via a bipartite recognition strategy involving low-affinity, high-specificity recognition of the flexible α-tubulin tail and moderate-affinity interactions with the tubulin body at interfaces that prevent the incorporation of soluble tubulin into microtubules (75, 87). TTL competes with stathmin for tubulin binding (88), raising the interesting question of how other tubulin-binding proteins in the cell can positively or negatively regulate tyrosination.

Although these structural and functional studies have shed light on the mechanism used by TTL to distinguish between soluble and polymeric tubulin, how members of the larger TTL family specifically recognize the microtubule polymer is still unknown due to the lack of any structural information. Moreover, the molecular basis for the preference for either α-tubulin or β-tubulin tails is unknown, but is central to understanding how the tubulin code is generated.

A systematic biochemical and structural dissection of the enzymes that modify tubulin constitutes a first step toward understanding the molecular requirements for generating the large tubulin chemical diversity observed in cells. However, we might be quickly approaching a situation where the resolution of in vitro reconstitution assays will exceed the resolution of detecting microtubule modification patterns in cells, so far limited by tools to specifically recognize modifications in vivo and the resolution of conventional light microscopy. The former problem promises to benefit from in vitro studies, which bring with them the hope of generating recombinant antibodies with higher specificity and resolution for various modifications or fluorescent amino acid analogs compatible with engineered TTL enzyme active sites that could potentially be used for live cell imaging. Recent years have seen a revolution in high-resolution microscopy techniques that in conjunction with such labeling tools promise to get us closer to a high-resolution dynamic map of tubulin posttranslational modifications in cells.

Pattern Formation in Vivo: Spatial and Kinetic Control

Tubulin posttranslational modification enzymes display tissue specificity as well as distinct subcellular localization (62, 66, 77). This spatial regulation can give rise to diverse modification patterns in cells and tissues. However, at a more local level, the dynamic behavior of the microtubules themselves intersects with the kinetic properties of the enzymes, giving rise to spatial...
and temporal patterns. For example, TTL is specific for soluble tubulin and does not tyrosinate microtubules (73, 75). Its kinetic parameters allow it to rapidly tyrosinate the tubulin cytoplasmic pool. Conversely, the detyrosination reaction concentrates on the microtubule polymer. As a result, the newly growing end of a microtubule would be enriched in tyrosinated tubulin, whereas older segments can have a lower density of tyrosinated tubulin and thus differentially recruit factors that are sensitive to the tubulin tyrosination status (75). Early experiments using anti-tyrosinated tubulin antibodies hint at such gradients in cells (89).

Several tubulin posttranslational modifications such as acetylation and glutamylation are correlated with stable, long-lived microtubules. Although we still do not understand the causality between modifications and microtubule stability, recent mechanistic work on tubulin acetyltransferase revealed that its slow catalytic rate, coupled with its exploration of the microtubule length, allows it to preferentially mark long-lived microtubules at enzyme concentrations that are substoichiometric to tubulin (51). An understanding of the differential kinetic parameters of TTLL family members as well as other classes of tubulin modification enzymes is likely to illuminate how their molecular properties generate complex temporal and spatial modification patterns.

Reading the Tubulin Code

It has been known for more than two decades that tubulin C-terminal tails can regulate the interaction of motors and MAPs with the microtubule as well as influence the polymerization properties of tubulin. Early experiments demonstrated a reduction in the processivity of both kinesin and dynein on partially proteolyzed microtubules missing their C-terminal tails (90). Removal of tubulin C-terminal tails also inhibits spastin- and katanin-mediated microtubule severing (91, 92). Early blot overlay assays revealed that the microtubule binding affinities of Tau, MAP1A, MAP1B, and MAP2 are influenced by polyglutamylation (93, 94). Single molecule tracking experiments coupled with antibody labeling to identify the posttranslational status of microtubules in cells revealed a specialization of several kinesins for modified microtubules (95). Furthermore, tail deletions and point mutations of glutamylation and glycylation sites in Tetrahymena α- and β-tubulin revealed their importance for the viability of the organism (96, 97).

Subtilisin-treated tubulin missing both α-tubulin and β-tubulin C-terminal tails has a critical concentration 50-fold lower than tubulin and forms, in addition to microtubules, other polymeric species such as sheets, rings, and aggregates (98). These and other early experiments indicate that tubulin tails and their modifications can tune both the basic properties of the microtubule polymer and its interaction with cellular effectors. However, further mechanistic investigations into the effects of the tails and their posttranslational modifications on motor and MAP activity were hampered by the unknown identity of most tubulin modification enzymes as well as the inability to generate distinctly modified tubulin or tubulin that can be engineered for in vitro experiments.

Several microtubule plus-end tracking proteins, including CLIP-170 and p150Glued, contain cytoskeleton-associated protein glycine-rich (CAP-Gly) domains that track the growing microtubule plus-end by specifically recognizing the α-tubulin C-terminal tyrosine (99). More recent studies using TTL KO fibroblasts show that the depolymerizing kinesin-13 mitotic centromere-associated kinesin (MCAK) is more active on tyrosinated than detyrosinated microtubules, thus providing a possible mechanistic explanation for the increased stability of detyrosinated microtubules in cells (55). Experiments using KO mice for one of the TTLL1 subunits show that decreased glutamylation on axonal microtubules lowers the affinity of kinesin-3 and reduces synaptic vesicle trafficking (42). Glutamylation also regulates sliding velocities of axonemal microtubules, likely by modulating the microtubule binding affinity of inner arm dynein (38, 39). Recent experiments using engineered S. cerevisiae tubulin revealed the differential regulation of several kinesins and cytoplasmic dynein by different α- and β-tubulin isoforms as well as detyrosination (100).

Despite significant progress in the last few years, the effects of tubulin posttranslational modifications on the recruitment and activity of most motors and MAPs are still largely unknown and are just beginning to be investigated. For many modifications, such as polyamination, phosphorylation, and glycylation, the effects are completely unknown and will no doubt be the focus of future experiments once methods to produce well-characterized microtubules carrying these modifications are developed.

How Does the Cell Interpret the Tubulin Code?

Although the tubulin code is gradually yielding its secrets, what is not known is how the cell ultimately integrates the information encoded in tubulin posttranslational modifications. However, what is clear is that the organism invests a large amount of coding capacity for modification enzymes, that their loss can be deleterious to the organism, and that nontrivial amounts of energy are expended to modify tubulin. Moreover, tubulin modification enzymes appear to be under strong evolutionary selection. The power of the bottom-up reconstitution approach has been amply demonstrated in the last five decades in the study of basic cell biological processes. The analysis of tubulin posttranslational modifications is rapidly entering this stage. We see several major challenges: to characterize the dynamics and mechanical properties of modified microtubules; to understand the basic principles that give rise to the differential specificities of tubulin modification enzymes; to understand how motors and microtubule-associated proteins are influenced by modifications and how their action in turn modulates the behavior of this dynamic polymer; and to generate modification patterns that mimic those found in cells and build complex microtubule array geometries. These basic first steps should get us closer to understanding how the cell interprets the tubulin code.
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